PROCEEDINGS OF THE 21<sup>st</sup> SOUTHEAST ASIAN GEOTECHNICAL CONFERENCE AND 4<sup>th</sup> AGSSEA CONFERENCE (SEAGC-AGSSEA 2023) BANGKOK, THAILAND, 25-27 OCTOBER 2023

PROCEEDINGS OF THE 21<sup>st</sup> SOUTHEAST ASIAN GEOTECHNICAL CONFERENCE AND 4th AGSSEA CONFERENCE (SEAGC-AGSSEA 2023) BANGKOK, THAILAND, 25-27 OCTOBER 2023

Innovative geotechnology to meet new challenges in the region and beyond

In an era of increasing urgency to protect geo-structures from natural disasters and environmental threats, importance of geotechnical profession has never been more pronounced. Ensuring safety. cost-effectiveness, and environmental sustainability are paramount. This demand for innovation in geotechnology resonates regionally and globally as

we tackle these challenges together.

Editors: Apiniti Jotisankasa **Tirawat Boonyatee** Kuo-Chieh Chao **Suttisak Soralump Warakorn Mairaing** 

This volume of e-Proceedings, centered around the theme of "Innovative Geotechnology to Meet New Challenges in the Region and Beyond" is a collection comprising four keynote presentations and 92 technical papers, each carrying theoretical and practical significance in geotechnical profession. PROCEEDINGS OF THE 21st SOUTHEAST ASIAN GEOTECHNICAL CONFERENCE AND 4<sup>th</sup> AGSSEA CONFERENCE (SEAGC-AGSSEA 2023), BANGKOK, THAILAND, 25-27 OCTOBER 2023

Innovative geotechnology to meet new challenges in the region and beyond

**Editors** 

Apiniti Jotisankasa Tirawat Boonyatee Kuo-Chieh Chao **Suttisak Soralump** Warakorn Mairaing

# **Organized by**



 *South East Asian Geotechnical Society (SEAGS)*



 *Engineering Institute of Thailand under H.M. The King's Patronage*



 *Thai Geotechnical Society (TGS)*

# **Under the auspices of:**



*International Society of Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (ISSMGE)*



# **Session 6A: Ground Improvement 3**





# **Study the Behaviour of Excess Pore Water Pressure in Sandy Soil Mixed with Fine Content by using High Vacuum Densification Method (HVDM)**

*Chayakorn Jansakul<sup>1</sup> , Susit Chaiprakaikeow<sup>2</sup> , Suriyon Prempramote<sup>3</sup> , Suttisak Soralump<sup>4</sup> 1,2,3,4 Civil Engineering, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand E-mail: chayakorn.jan@ku.th*

**ABSTRACT:** High Vacuum Densification Method (HVDM) is a soil improvement technique and is a combination of Dynamic Compaction Method (DC) and Vacuum Consolidation Method (VCM). The purpose of this technique is to increase the bearing capacity and reduce the settlement by using a tamper that freely drops at a designed height with vacuum system. However, during the soil improvement process with DC, excess pore water pressure may increase, and it may take a long period of time for the dissipation of excess pore water pressure. This research aims to analyse a suitable model for predicting the changes in excess pore water pressure that occur during the soil improvement process with DC in order to understand its behaviour before beginning of actual work. The study area is a runway and taxiway at U-Tapao International Airport, 2nd phase, Rayong Province, Thailand, where piezometers and accelerometer were installed to measure pore water pressure and ground acceleration during the tamping. Most of the soil in study area is sandy soil mixed with some fine content. A Mohr-Coulomb model was used in PLAXIS 2D software for the analysis. The field result showed that excess pore water pressure decreased with increasing depth and horizontal distance while the peak ground acceleration decreases with the increase of horizontal distance from drop point. While comparing the excess pore water pressure results from field and modelling, the maximum different value is 2.43 kPa.

**KEYWORDS:** High Vacuum Densification Method, Dynamic Compaction, Pore Water Pressure, Numerical Analysis

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

There are several engineering soil improvement techniques that can be used to enhance the engineering quality of soft soil such as (a) prefabricated vertical drains (PVDs) and fill preloading, (b) vacuum consolidation together with PVDs, (c) stone columns, (d) thermal treatment, (e) chemical mixing, (f) electro-osmosis, and (g) deep dynamic compaction (Tabatabaei 2014). Although there are various methods for soil improvement, prefabricated vertical drains (PVD) with preloading is used in most of the construction works in Thailand but this method takes long time to complete (Khattiwong, 2015). Likewise, High Vacuum Densification Method (HVDM) was invented in China and is the combination of dynamic compaction method and vacuum consolidation method. This method takes shorter time to complete as compared to prefabricated vertical drains (PVD) with preloading method (Liang and Xu 2010). Although dynamic compaction is effective for the mitigation of liquefaction (Thevanayagam et al. 2009), the excess pore water pressure will be created during the soil improvement process and it might lead to liquefaction of sand or silty soils (e.g., Roesset et al. 1994; Majdi et al. 2007; Cui 2010). Consequently, that liquefaction will make dynamic compaction less effective in terms of densification of the subsoil (Nashed et al. 2009a, b). Therefore, this research focuses on analysing suitable models to understand the response to excess pore water pressure generated during soil improvement process.

### **2. METHODOLOGY**

#### **2.1 Study Area Conditions**

The study area, U-Tapao International Airport, is located approximately 190 kilometres away in Southeast part of Bangkok in Rayong Province. In addition, U-Tapao International Airport is also located near the deep-sea port of Map Ta Phut and Chuk Samet port (Figure 1). The project intends to construct runways and taxiways in the area. Most of the soil in this study area is sandy soil mixed with fine content and has a low bearing capacity. Therefore, it is necessary to improve their strength in order to construct the runways and taxiways in this area.

### **2.2. High Vacuum Densification Method (HVDM)**

HVDM is a method of soil improvement technique that combines the knowledge of two techniques, namely Dynamic compaction and Vacuum consolidation. This method is suitable for large-scale projects as it avoids the need for extensive soil excavation, which can be costly. Dynamic compaction is performed to increase the soil density and create positive pressure while Vacuum consolidation aims to create negative pressure. By creating a pressure difference, excess water pressure in the soil can be dissipated rapidly and various principles can be demonstrated as shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 1 Location of U-Tapao international airport**



**Figure 2 The mechanism of HVDM (Ji-hong 2014)**

# **Table 1 Soil parameters for the modelling**





# **Figure 3 Model and mesh for simulation by PLAXIS 2D**



# **Figure 4 Ground acceleration testing**

#### **2.3 Field Testing and Measurement**

Two types of soil investigation works were carried out in the project area i.e., Cone penetration Test (CPT) and Spectral Analysis of Surface Waves (SASW). Likewise, 2 field measurements were carried out in the study area including pore water pressure generation measurement and ground acceleration testing due to tamping. For pore water pressure generation measurement, 3 piezometers were installed in the study area at 2-, 4- and 6-meters depth in order to measure pore water pressure generated during the improvement process. Ground acceleration testing was performed by using accelerometers at 10, 15 and 20 meters from the center of drop point as shown in Figure 4.

## **3. THE RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Soil Investigation**

The result of Cone Penetration test and SASW is shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6 respectively.



**Figure 5 Result of Cone Penetration Test (CPT)**



**Figure 6 Result of Spectral Analysis of Surface Waves (SASW)**

#### **3.2 Pore Water Pressure Generation**

Three Piezometers were used for measurement of pore water pressure during soil improvement process. They were installed at 2, 4, and 6 meters depth. During improvement process, the maximum excess pore water pressure generation with increasing of horizontal distance from the centreline of drop point is illustrated in Figure 7.



**Figure 7 Plot of excess pore water pressure with horizontal distance from drop point**

## **3.3 Ground Acceleration Testing**

Ground acceleration testing was conducted during tamping by using accelerometers. Accelerometers were put on ground surface at 10, 15 20 meters from centreline of drop point. The result of ground acceleration testing is illustrated in Figure 8. The summary result of peak ground acceleration with horizontal distance from drop point is presented in Table 2.



**Figure 8 Time history plot of Y-acceleration** 

#### **Table 2 Peak Y-Acceleration**



#### **3.4 Simulation Modelling**

## **3.4.1 Interpretation of soil investigation**

Two-dimensional finite element analysis was performed using PLAXIS 2D software. Axisymmetric method was used for analysis and the dimension of the model taken was 30 m x 10 m with ground water level present at 1.50m from the ground surface. The Mohr-Coulomb Method was used for the analysis and the soil parameters for the modelling were obtained from soil investigation in the study area by Cone Penetration Test (CPT). The soil classification has been carried out using Figure 9 and conversion of CPT values to other parameters is carried out using Equation 1-7 and is shown in Table 1. The model and mesh for simulation using PLAXIS 2D is shown in Figure 3. To avoid the wave reflection on the model boundary, viscous boundary was specified at  $X_{\text{max}}$  and  $Y_{\text{min}}$ .



**Figure 9 Simplified soil classification chart for standard electric friction cone** 

For unit weight based on Robertson (2010)

$$
\gamma/\gamma_w = 0.27 \log R_f + 0.36 \log(q_t/P_a) + 1.236 \tag{1}
$$

For undrained Shear Strength, Su, based on Terzaghi & Peck (1967)

$$
S_{u} = 6.25N \tag{2}
$$

For aproximated qc/N based on Robertson &Campanella (1983)



For Void ratio from phase diagram

$$
e = \frac{\gamma_t - \gamma_w G_s}{\gamma_w S - \gamma_t}
$$
 When  $G_s = 2.65$  for Sand and 2.70 for Clay (3)

For Young's Modulus

$$
E = 2\rho V_s^2 (1 + \nu) \tag{4}
$$

For Poisson ratio based on Davidovici et. al. (1985)

 $v = 0.35$  for Silty and Clayey Sand

= 0.50 for Saturated Clay

For Shear Modulus

$$
G = \frac{E}{2(1+v)}\tag{5}
$$

Maximum Shear Modulus Based on Anbazhagan et. al. (2010)

$$
G_{max} = 24.28N^{0.55} \tag{6}
$$

Damping Ratio Based on Ishibashi and Zang (1993)

$$
D = 0.167 \left( 1 + e^{-0.0145 I_p^{1.3}} \right) \{ 0.586 \left( \frac{G}{G_{max}} \right)^2 - 1.547 \left( \frac{G}{G_{max}} \right) + 1 \} (7)
$$

## **3.4.2 Impact Stress during Dynamic Compaction**

The dynamic stress-time function for dynamic compaction can be assumed as triangular impulse loading (Mayne and Jones, 1983) as shown in Figure 10. The peak dynamic stress (σmax) and time duration  $(\Delta t)$  has been obtained using equation 8 and 9 respectively and the result of dynamic stress calculation is as shown in Table 3.



**Figure 10 Dynamic stress-time function**

$$
\sigma_{max} = \sqrt{\frac{32WHGr_0}{\pi^2(1-\nu)}} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi r_o^2} \tag{8}
$$

$$
\Delta t = \frac{2W\sqrt{2gH}}{(\pi r_o^2)g\sigma_{max}}\tag{9}
$$

#### **Table 3 Parameters for the applied load**



#### **3.5 Computation Result**

To validate the applied load and modelling, the comparison between field testing result and computation results was performed. Figure 11, Figure 12, Figure 13 and Figure 14 illustrates the plot of excess pore water pressure generation between field and Modelling. For the comparison, the different value can be calculated by using Eq.12 and the result of calculations shown in Table 4.

different value = 
$$
Modeling - Field
$$
 (12)

**Table 4 Different value of excess pore water pressure generation between field and Modelling**

Depth	Different value (kPa)			
(m)	$X = 2 m$	$X = 4$ m	$X = 7$ m	$X = 10$ m
$-2.0$	N/A	1.16	0.09	0.01
$-4.0$	$-0.78$	2.10	1.06	0.54
$-6.0$	$-1.15$	2.43	1.35	0.77



**Figure 11 Excess pore water pressure from field testing and modelling (horizontal distance 2 meters)**



**Figure 12 Excess pore water pressure from field testing and modelling (horizontal distance 4 meters)**



**Figure 13 Excess pore water pressure from field testing and modelling (horizontal distance 7 meters)**



**Figure 14 Excess pore water pressure from field testing and modelling (horizontal distance 10 meters)**

## **4. CONCLUSIONS**

In analysing the excess pore water pressure from the field relative to the horizontal distance from the drop point, it becomes evident that there exists a discernible trend of decreasing excess pore water pressure with increasing horizontal distance. Furthermore, it is also observed that the peak acceleration exhibits a gradual decrease with the increase in horizontal distance. This is in accordance to the law that impact energy decreases with distance.

Based on the modelling outcomes, it was observed that the presence of excess pore water pressure decreased with increase in depth at a horizontal distance of 2 meters. However, it was found that the excess pore water increased with depth when the horizontal distance was shifted to 4, 7 and 10 meters.

Additionally, when comparing the field results with the modelling outcomes, it was observed that the maximum different value of excess pore water pressure is 2.43 kPa.

#### **5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We extend our sincere gratitude to the Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kasetsart University, as well as Geotechnical Engineering Research and Development Centre (GERD) and Geoharbour Construction Co., Ltd., for their supporting and facilitation throughout the course of this study. Furthermore, we would like to express our appreciation to all individuals who contributed to this research, albeit not explicitly mentioned in this article.

# **6. REFERENCES**

- Cui X (2010) Real-Time Diagnosis Method of Compaction State of Subgrade During Dynamic Compaction. Geotech Test J 33(4):1–5.
- Ji-hong. (2014). Hvdm Method Application in a Sandy Clay Ground. Proceedings of Soft Soils 2014.
- Kittiwong T. (**2015).** Comparison of Soil Improvements such as HVDM, High Negative Pressure Suction System and Dynamic Compaction on Bangkok Soft Clay. (Master' s thesis) Faculty of Civil Engineering, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang.
- Liang R. Y., and Xu S. (2010). Innovative Soft Clay Improvements Using Vacuum and Dynamic Compaction. Proc. Indian Geotechnical Conference- 2010, GEOtrendz, Vol. III, Invited Papers, IIT Bombay, 133-141.
- Li W, Gu Q, Su L, Yang B. (2011). Finite Element Analysis of Dynamic Compaction in Soft Foundation. Proc Eng 12:224–228
- Majdi A, Soltani AS, Litkouhi S (2007) Mitigation of Liquefaction Hazard by Dynamic Compaction. Proc Inst Civil Eng Ground Improve 11(3):137–143.
- Nashed R, Thevanayagam S, Martin GR. (2009a). Dynamic Compaction of Saturated Sands and Silty Sands: Results. Proc Inst Civil Eng Ground Improve 162(2):69–79.
- Nashed R, Thevanayagam S, Martin GR. (2009b). Dynamic Compaction of Saturated Sands and Silty Sands: Design. Proc Inst Civil Eng Ground Improve 162(2):81–92.
- Paul W. Mayne, and Jones S. Jones (1983). Impact Stresses during Dynamic Compaction. J Geotech Eng 109(10):1342-1346.
- Roesset JM, Kausel E, Cuellar V, Monte JL, and Valerio J. (1994). Impact of weight falling onto the ground. J Geotech Eng 120(8):1394-1412.
- Song X, Zhou Z, Yang Y, Zhang H. (2011). Field Test and Numerical Analysis of Dynamic Compaction on Cohesionless Soil Subgrade. J Highway Transp Res Dev 31(3):1–6 ((**in Chinese**)).
- Tabatabaei S. (**201**4**).** Design and Analysis of "High Vacuum Densification Method" For Saturated and Partially Saturated Soft Soil Improvement. (Doctor of Philosophy' s thesis) The Graduate Faculty, The University of Akron.
- Thevanayagam S, Nashed R, and Martin GR. (2009). Dynamic compaction of saturated sands and silty sands: theory. Proc Inst Civil Eng Ground Improve 162(2):57–68.
- Yao K, Rong Y, Yao Z, Shi C, Yang C, Chen L, Zhang B, and Jiang H. (2022). Effect of Water Level on Dynamic Compaction in Silty Ground of Yellow River Alluvial Plain. Arabian Journal of Geosciences (2022) 15: 126-142.